UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/914,794	09/05/2001	Gerhardus Sjoerd Jozef Haak	110510	4100
25944 7590 02/14/2008 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850			EXAMINER	
			SIEFKE, SAMUEL P	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/14/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/914,794	HAAK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SAM P. SIEFKE	1797				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 No.	ovember 2007					
	action is non-final.					
<i>i</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 4</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1.3 and 4 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1797

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Status**

This Office Action is in response the Appeal Brief Filed 11/13/07. The arguments presented in the Brief are persuasive and the Examiner has reopened prosecution of the Application by applying new prior art.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Page 3

Claims **1**, **3** and **4** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fetner et al. (USPN 5,512,168) in view of Klemm et al. (USPN 5,721,142).

Fetner teaches an extraction process that comprises: conditioning a sorbent in a cartridge by passing a liquid suitable for conditioning though the cartridge (col. 10, lines 26-30; col. 10, lines 49-51); applying a sample that contains the analyte to the sorbent by passing a liquid which contains the sample though the cartridge (col. 10, lines 52-54); washing the sorbent by passing a wash liquid through the cartridge (col. 10, lines 55-59); eluting the analyte from the sorbent by passing an elution liquid through the cartridge (col. 11, lines 1-6). Fetner also teaches drying the cartridge receiver tubes for a selected period of time. The gas comprises a dry inert gas. (col. 6, lines 27-30).

Fetner does not teach any information regarding raising or lowering the temperature of the cartridge by flowing a heated or cooled sample through the cartridge.

Klemm teaches a method for monitoring mammalian reproductive cycles by monitoring variation in the quality of one or more low molecular weight volatile compounds. The method for preparing these samples includes reacting the supernatant of the sample and DNPH solution. This reaction sample is heated on a shaker at 60 degrees Celsius for 10 minutes for the reaction to occur. The sample is filtered using a standard filter paper and 2 ml of the filtrate slowly loaded into a C18 solid-phase extraction cartridge. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Fetner to employ heating a sample mixture before loading onto a solid-phase extraction cartridge in order to provide the sample mixture reaction to occur. This is a well known procedure in sample

preparation to speed up reactions or allow enhanced sample sensitivity of reagents and sample interactions. The Examiner maintains that loading a solution that has been heated to 60 degrees Celsius would raise the temperature of the solid-phase extraction cartridge to a temperature that is above the temperature before the sample was loaded on the cartridge.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3 and 4 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SAM P. SIEFKE whose telephone number is (571)272-1262. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on 571-272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/914,794 Page 5

Art Unit: 1797

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sam P. Siefke

/Samuel P Siefke/ Examiner, Art Unit 1797

February 13, 2008